

DISTRIBUTION OF THE SPECIES *Zerynthia polyxena* (LEPIDOPTERA, PAPILIONIDAE), IN NATURAL AND ANTHROPIC HABITATS IN THE CARPATHIAN PIEDMONT BETWEEN THE RIVERS BUZĂU AND PRAHOVA (ROMANIA), IMPLICATIONS FOR ITS CONSERVATION

BĂRCĂ Valentin, NICULAE Marilena

Abstract. *Zerynthia polyxena* is a Palearctic species, spanning across Europe through Central Asia, in disjunct populations restricted to patchy habitats providing its food plants from the genus *Aristolochia* and suitable habitat. It is a beautiful flag-species for conservation programs and a remarkably interesting model-system for toxicologists studying resistance mechanisms against the genotoxic and malignant lethal effects of Aristolochic Acids, against which this species is immune. Native to most of Southeastern Europe (where it is declining by 6-30%), and extinct in Germany and Switzerland, it was relatively rare all over its vast European range, due to its narrow steno-monophagous diet and its relatively strict habitat requirements. In Romania, it is widely distributed in patchy, disjunct and vulnerable local populations in all provinces, feeding mainly on *Aristolochia clematitidis*, and it is listed as endangered species in Romanian fauna declining >30%, and a Natura 2000 species protected by law. Therefore, knowing the actual population range, population sizes and trends in a given region is an objective of great importance to which our study is herein bringing a first contribution. We present herein more than 30 locations where we documented the occurrence, abundance and habitat data for this species in the Carpathian Piedmont between the rivers Prahova and during more than previous 10 years of fieldwork. Despite the apparently encouraging numbers, the populations are small and very vulnerable especially to indiscriminate use of chemical insecticides, often not only in the vineyards and crop-fields, but also on the fallow/ruderal land bordering the cultivated fields which both harbor the weed-like *A. clematitidis* food-plants. The data we gathered indicate the occurrence of resident populations with fluctuating distribution and abundance between the sites, having the characteristics of a metapopulation, increasing its conservations hopes. This first batch of results prompted newer research aimed at validating the hypotheses we hereby formulated.

Keywords: *Zerynthia polyxena*, *Aristolochia clematitidis*, chorology, conservation, metapopulation.

Rezumat. Distribuția speciei *Zerynthia polyxena* (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae), în habitate naturale și antropice în Subcarpații dintre râurile Buzău și Prahova (România), implicații pentru conservarea ei. *Zerynthia polyxena* este o specie Palaearctică, distribuită de-a latul Europei până în Asia Centrală, în populații disjuncte, restrânse la habitate care oferă planta gazdă din genul *Aristolochia* și habitate adecvate. Este o superbă specie-fanion pentru programe de conservare și un remarcabil sistem-model pentru toxicologii care studiază mecanismele de rezistență împotriva efectelor genotoxice și maligne, mortale, ale Acizilor Aristolochici, împotriva cărora această specie este imună. Spontană în mai toată Europa de Sud - Est (unde specia este în declin cu 6-30%), a dispărut din Germania și Elveția și este relativ rară în tot vastul ei areal European, datorită dietei steno-monofage și cerințelor de habitat relativ stricte. În România, este larg răspândită în populații locale disjuncte și vulnerabile în toate provinciile, hrănindu-se în special cu *Aristolochia clematitidis*, și este listată ca specie periclitată în fauna României, în declin >30%, și specie Natura 2000 protejată de lege. Ca urmare, cunoașterea distribuției actuale, a mărimii populațiilor și a tendințelor dintr-o regiune, este un obiectiv de mare importanță, la care studiul nostru aduce aici o primă contribuție. Prezentăm aici peste 30 locații unde am documentat existența, abundența și informații despre habitat pentru această specie în Subcarpații dintre râurile Prahova și Buzău în decursul a peste 10 ani de observații în teren. În ciuda aparent încurajatoare, populațiile sunt mici și foarte vulnerabile în special la folosirea fără discriminare a insecticidelor chimice, adesea nu doar în vii și terenuri cultivate, dar și pe terenuri virane-ruderales mărginind terenurile cultivate care ambele adăpostesc planta gazdă - buruiana *A. clematitidis*. Datele culese de noi indică prezența unor populații rezidente cu distribuții și abundențe fluctuante între locații, având caracteristicile unei metapopulații, ceea ce crește speranțele de conservare. Această primă tranșă de rezultate a impulsat noi cercetări destinate validării ipotezelor formulate în acest cadru.

Cuvinte cheie: *Zerynthia polyxena*, *Aristolochia clematitidis*, corologie, conservare, metapopulație.

INTRODUCTION

Zerynthia polyxena (Denis & Schiffermüller, 1775), is a Palearctic species, spanning across Europe and Western and Central Asia, in disjunct populations restricted to patchy habitats where it can find its specialized food plants from the genus *Aristolochia* and suitable habitat. It is native to the southeastern France, Italy (including Sicily) through most of Southeastern Europe (Albania, Austria, Greece, FYR Macedonia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Montenegro, Bulgaria, Croatia, Serbia, Slovenia, Romania, Moldova) extending North-Eastwards through Hungary, Slovakia, Czech Republic, Belarus, Ukraine, Russian Federation, Turkey to Southern Urals and Northwest Kazakhstan where it occurs between 0-1700m, but usually at lower elevations than 900 m (VAN SWAAY et al., 2010). Formerly also native to Germany and Switzerland, more recent work do not mention the current occurrence in these countries (BERGMANN, 1952; TOLMAN & LEWINGTON, 1998; KUDRNA, 2002) and according to (VAN SWAAY et al., 2010) it has gone extinct in these countries.

From taxonomic point of view, *Zerynthia polyxena* (Dennis & Schiffermüller, 1775) is a complex species which reportedly has more than 31 subspecies (NARDELLI & HIRSCHFELD, 2002) distinguished by a complex of

morphological characters set forth by FORD (1944a, 1944b) and EISNER (1974) and which have an intricate intra- and inter-specific hybridization pattern investigated by DESCIMON & MICHEL (1989) and LUX (1990).

DINCĂ & VILA (2008) reported successful molecular DNA-based identification *Zerynthia polyxena* and distinction from *Z. cerisyi ferdinandi* based on larvae found feeding on *Aristolochia clematidis* in Canaraua Fetei natural reserve in Southern Romania, while DAPPORTO (2010) segregated *Zerynthia cassandra* (Geyer, 1828) from *Zerynthia polyxena* (Dennis & Schiffermuller, 1775) on morphological grounds via geometric morphometry.

Its zoological status is rather precarious, according to the IUCN evaluation from 2010 (VAN SWAAY et al., 2010), still valid at current moment, *Zerynthia polyxena* is reported extinct from Germany; Switzerland with a “strong decline in distribution or population size of more than 30% reported from Romania, while decline in distribution or population size of 6-30% has been reported from Albania, Austria, France, Serbia, Turkey (European part) and Ukraine.

While the species has a vast range in Europe, it was not very abundant all over its range, due to its narrow steno-monophagous diet and its relatively strict habitat requirements, which make the species use much less of the available food sources (DINCĂ et al, 2009; DAPPORTO, 2010).

Despite its decreasing current population trend the current threat status at European level is LC (Least Concern) but the species is listed on the Habitats Directive Annex 4 and Bern Convention Annex 2 (VAN SWAAY et al., 2010).

Due to their attractive habitus, *Z. polyxena* is very popular among lepidopterologists who studied their life history and ecology in considerable detail.

Depending on the availability at a certain location, its larvae feed on various *Aristolochia* species, such as *Aristolochia clematidis*, *A. rotunda*, *A. pallida* and *A. pistolochia*, all of which are very toxic. Like many other Papilionidae, the larvae of *Z. polyxena* are capable of sequestering phytotoxines from their food-plant, which render both the caterpillars, pupae and the adults toxic and unpalatable for predators.

Unlike the case of other lepidopteran food-plants which are less dangerous for humans, *Aristolochia* species are quite dangerous to humans, being incriminated as causal agents in lethal conditions involving the excretory system, as their main toxins, the Aristolochic Acids and their aristolactams are believed to cause both upper transitional epithelial cancers and kidney progressive fibrosis leading to terminal failure (known as Balkan Endemic Nephropathy).

In Romania, the main food-plant for *Z. polyxena* is *Aristolochia clematidis* and much less frequently other species of the genus, which are much rare and less abundant, like *A. rotunda* / *A. pallida* complex. *Aristolochia clematidis* with the vernacular names in Romanian “marul lupului”, or “Oesterluzei” in German,

is (along with the other *Aristolochia* species) of paramount importance for the conservation of not only *Zerynthia polyxena* but also of another rare and important Papilionidae species from the Romanian fauna, viz. *Z. (Allancastris) cerisyi ferdinandi* (Stichel, 1907), as they are the only known food-plants for these lepidopteran species.

Knowledge regarding the biology and zoology of *Z. polyxena* in Romania is relatively good, and it is considered endangered at a country level as declines of more than 30% have been reported, (VAN SWAAY et al., 2010), it is listed as an endangered species in the Romanian fauna (RÁKOSY, 2003) and a Natura 2000 species of conservative interest being in principle protected by law.

It is relatively widely distributed in Romania, but indisjunct patchy, local populations. in all provinces, being rediscovered in Southern Dobrogea, (DINCĂ & VILA, 2008; DINCĂ et al., 2009), 80 years from the last report (MANN, 1866).

Not many precise chorological data from Romania were available at the beginning of this study (but see NICULESCU, 1961; SZÉKELY, 1985) and the situation still has room for improvement, despite some new data from reports in recent years, SZÉKELY, 1996; RÁKOSY et al., 2003; DINCĂ et al., 2009)

Continuing our previous work on chorology and conservation of interesting plant or insect taxa (also see ARBUNE et al., 2009; BÂRCĂ & NICULAE, 2005, 2006, 2009, 2011; BÂRCĂ, 2016a, 2016b; NICULAE & BÂRCĂ, 2005, 2006; BÂRCĂ et al., 2011), we present herein the results of more than 15 years of field research in this region rather poorly explored until recently.

In addition to being a beautiful species with a great potential for serving environmentalists as a flag-species for conservation programs beyond its individual benefit, *Z. polyxena* is a remarkably interesting species as a model system for toxicologists trying to understand the mechanism used by it to escape the genotoxic and malignant lethal effect of the Aristolochic Acids, towards which this species is apparently immune. Therefore, knowing the actual population range, population sizes and trends in a given region is an objective of great importance to which our study is herein bringing a first contribution.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The area involved in the present work is situated on the territory of two counties, Prahova and Buzău, in the Eastern-part of Romania, covering the hills of the Carpathian Piedmont spanning between the river Prahova to the West and the river Buzău to the North and East, being limited to the south by a virtual arch passing through Ploiesti and cities and the villages Drăgănești de Prahova, Fulga, Lacu Sinaia, Amaru, Margineanu, Movila Banului, Costești, Pietrosu, Spătaru. The survey could not be performed with an exhaustive approach, being more focused on the areas where natural stands with populations of *Aristolochia* sp. were identified by us or had been previously reported. Thus,

some areas with known or presumed to have *Aristolochia* natural populations or a higher biodiversity were over-surveyed for several years while others were much less thoroughly surveyed. Therefore, a place not mentioned in the present work might very well harbor a viable population of *Z. polyxena*.

On the other hand, like previously reported for the *Aristolochia clematitis* in Southern Dobrogea (BÂRCĂ, 2018), some of the populations surveyed in several (even consecutive) years showed fluctuating sizes, some populations even disappearing altogether and reemerging in subsequent years. In some cases factors contributing to the fluctuating population sizes could be inferred as the local farmers heavily use insecticides for the agricultural management of vineyards and other crops, but in some other instances no factors could be detected, while entire, previously thriving stands of *Aristolochia* vanished without trace or apparent cause, just to reemerge years later without any logical explanation.

The sites were surveyed personally by the first author and positive occurrence was recorded only when either adult specimens (imagines) or larvae were personally seen. A few locations are mentioned after records from the scientific literature and are marked accordingly in the table, and one site is derived from a photographic record found over the internet and it is also marked accordingly. In all instances, I avoided collecting butterfly specimens, identification being easy and straight forward for both adults and larvae (under the assumption that the other similar species *Z. (Allancastris) cerisyi ferdinandi* (Stichel, 1907) does not occur in the studied region).

The geographical coordinates are given in the WGS84 system, and were collected either on spot using a GPS device with a reported error of less than 5 m, or, for older sites, were inferred where possible from field notes using ACME Mapper 2.1 software.

When recording the food-plant locally available, in order to avoid confusions with related species (*A. rotunda* / *A. pallida*) I mention the diagnostic criteria used to positively assign the individual plants to *A. clematitis* species, criteria that were the same as in BÂRCĂ, 2018, as follows:

- **General habitus:** – tall plants, with whirling stems 1–1.5 m tall, growing in rather dense colonies.
- **Flower habitus:** – yellow, concolor (without dark spots or stripes), multiple, growing often several at each one node.
- **Leaf habitus:** – leaves are cordiform, with pointed apices, with well-defined and longer stalks.
- **Roots/underground stems habitus:** – the plants present elongated tuberiform rhizomes, not rounded, ovalar or spherical bulbiform.

The results are presented in tabular format in Table 1, together with some ecological characteristics of the sites of occurrence where available. We also present data regarding the abundance of the individuals recorded on site (population sizes) and about the life stages found at the time of survey at the site, i.e. larvae or adults, and the number of individuals seen each year (if here have been visits in multiple years).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

During the last 30 years, our fieldwork research in the Carpathian Piedmont region between the rivers Prahova and Buzău, resulted in a number of 3+ sites where I have positively identified either flying imagines or larvae of *Zerynthia polyxena* (Dennis & Schiffermuller, 1775) on *Aristolochia clematitis* oftentimes redundantly. These individuals belonged presumably to resident populations of *Zerynthia polyxena* despite living in mostly seminatural, more anthropic habitats.

The sites where I have found *Zerynthia polyxena* in the studied region studied are listed in tabular format below (Table 1), sorted in descending order by counties and by location geographical coordinates. For most of the sites I present some notes about the habitat and about the number of colonies and abundance of the host-plants *Aristolochia clematitis* and about the abundance of *Zerynthia polyxena* at the site at the moment of my visit.

Table 1. List of the sites with their geographical coordinates where we have positively identified the occurrence of *Zerynthia polyxena* or from which credible data are published.

No	County	Closest human settlement	Site code	Coordinates WGS84 system	Notes, Host/ Habitat	Data Source
1	PH	?unverified source Drăgănești	NA	N 44 49' 39" E 26 17' 15"	Photo Date Taken: May 1, 2014 Retrieved from https://yourshot.nationalgeographic.com/photos/7795648/	Photo on the WWW, See Notes in the previous cell at the left
2	PH	Fulga de sus	FU	N 44 53' 25" E 26 26' 35"	Adults on flight on pasture by the lake shore SANTH	BV14[2A]
3	PH	Gura Vadului	GVN	N 45 2' 8" E 26 25' 58"	by a flood-control ditch along the ditch and inside cultivated fields and/or vineyards SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
4	PH	Gura Vadului	GV2	N 45 2' 10" E 26 25' 52"	by ditch 2-3AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]7
5	PH	Gura Vadului	GV3	45 2' 13" E 26 25' 36"	by ditch 1-3AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
6	PH	Gura Vadului	GV4	45 2' 17" E 26 25' 20"	by ditch some adults perching on a large <i>Rubus</i> stand! 1-3AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]

7	PH	Gura Vadului	GV5	45 2' 19" E 26 25' 10"	uncult field by the road 2AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
8	PH	Gura Vadului	GV6	45 1' 46" E 26 25' 31"	ditch 2 uncult 1AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
9	PH	Gura Vadului	GV7	45 1' 42" E 26 25' 8"	ditch 2 crossing uncult 1AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
10	PH	Gura Vadului	GV8	45 1' 27" E 26 24' 42"	seminal field by natural ravine 2-4AC SANTH	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
11	PH	Gura Vadului	GV9	45 1' 23" E 26 24' 48"	Natural ravine along a temporary creek 2-4AC	BV2011-2017[#A, #L]
12	PH	Vadu Sapat	VS	N 45 1' 14" E 26 24' 10"	Ravine by a natural creek between Vadu Sapat and "Bozieni" 1AC	BV2007[2A, #L]
13	PH	Călugăreni	CAS W	N 45 4' 41" E 26 21' 48"	natural ravine by Călugăreni 1AC	BV2007[3A, #L]
14	PH	Călugăreni	CASE	N 45 5' 4" E 26 23' 1"	natural? ravine by Călugăreni 2AC	BV2007[3A, #L]
15	PH	Călugăreni	CASE	N 45 5' 8" E 26 23' 17"	natural ravine by Călugăreni 1AC	BV2007[1A, #L]
16	PH	Călugăreni	CASE	N 45 4' 49" E 26 24' 15"	natural ditch between Călugăreni (Valea Scheilor) and Boboci –Jugureni 1AC SANTH	BV2007 [1A,#L]
17	PH	Jugureni	JUN W	N 45 6' 0" E 26 25' 33"	natural ravine by the road towards "Margeea Padurii" village 2AC SANTH	BV2007[3A, #L]
18	PH	Jugureni	JUSE	N 45 5' 12" E 26 25' 20"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture towards Tohani village 1-4AC, large stands	BV2005[1A]; B2007[2A, 5L]; BV2008[3A, #L]; BV2010[4A, #L]; BV2012[2A]; BV2013[2A, #L]; BV2014[6A, #L]; BV2017[1A]; BV2018[#L]
19	PH	Tohani	ToN W	N 45 4' 15" E 26 25' 39"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture by a forest plantation between 2 limestone hills 1-4AC, small stands	BV2005[1A]; B2007[4A, #L]; BV2008[1A, #L]; BV2010[3A, #L]; BV2011[8L]; BV2012[1A]; BV2013[1A, #L]; BV2014[8A, #L]; BV2017[1A]; BV2018[#L]
20	PH	Tohani	TON	N 45 4' 20" E 26 26' 13"	Vast pasture with limestone and calcareous breccia, conglomerate outcrops N of limestone hills by Tohani village, multiple small AC. In 2018 remarkable abundance of AC	BV2005[2A]; B2007[5A, #L]; BV2008[1A, #L]; BV2010[2A, #L]; BV2011[4L]; BV2012[2A]; BV2013[2A, #L]; BV2014[3A, #L]; BV2017[2A]; BV2018[#L]
21	PH	Tohani	TON2	N 45 3' 57" E 26 26' 22"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture by a forest plantation between 2 limestone hills 1-2AC SANTH	BV2008[2A, #L]; BV2010[3A, #L]; BV2011[5L]; BV2012[1A];
22	PH	Persunari	PEN W	N 45 3' 31" E 26 26' 43"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture with brushes SANTH	BV2005[1A]; BV2007[1A]; BV2008[#L];BV2010[#L]; BV2011[3L];
23	PH	Persunari	PEN W2	N 45 3' 41" E 26 26' 42"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture by a limestone hill multiple AC	BV2005[1A]; BV2007[1A]; BV2008[7L]; BV2010[#L]; BV2011[2L];
24	PH	Persunari	PENE	N 45 3' 39" E 26 27' 12"	natural ravine in uncultivated field /pasture by a limestone hill multiple AC	BV2005[1A]; BV2007[1A]; BV2008[5L]; BV2010[#L]; BV2011[2L];
25	PH	Persunari	PEE	N 45 3' 29" E 26 27' 8"	natural ravine by a meandered creek multiple AC	BV2005[1A]; BV2007[1A]; BV2008[6L]; BV2010[8L]; BV2011[3L];
26	PH	Muntele Roșu/cheia	MR1	NA	close to Izvorul Nicolaie Ioan" spring 4 th instar Larvae 1AC	BV1976[?L];
27	PH	Muntele Roșu	MR2	NA	"valea Berii 4 th instar Larvae 1AC	BV1976[?L];
28	BZ	Ratesti	RAN	N 45 18' 17" E 26 37' 52"	forest margins close to "Manastirea Ratesti" monastery multiple AC SANTH	BV2010[#L];
29	BZ	Nehoiu	NE	NA	Larvae on multiple AC ruderal by the railway station	BV2008[#L];
30	BZ	Siriu	SIN	N 45 31' 31" E 26 12' 13"	uncultivated slope towards the lake Siriu by a motel with thermal/mineral springs 3AC	BV2004[#L];
31	BZ	Siriu	SIN2	N 45 31' 45" E 26 12' 0"	pasture by the river/lake Siriu (at the uphill end of the lake Siriu) by a forestry hut ("canton forestier") multiple AC	BV2004[#L];
32	BZ	Mizil	Istrița Hill IH	NA.	relatively frequent 1 West-Asiatic Mediterranean xerothermophilous Endangered	DINCA, 2006
33	BZ	Dănciulești	NA	NA	20.V.2007 (collected as last instar larva)	RVcoll.07-D396 DINCA et al., 2009

Legend: abbreviations used in the table: #AC=number of colonies of *A. clematidis*; AC= Number of colonies of *A. clematidis* observed at site; **SANTH** =semi-anthropic habitat. The number attached to the source (date0 depicts the abundance of *Zerynthia polyxena* at the site at that respective moment, eg BV 2008[3A] BV2008[4L] represents occurrence of 3 adult specimens or 4Larvae seen in 2008 by the author Bărcă Valentin. For other sources see references; [#A] or [#L] represents more than 9 specimens seen per visit on site.

The data gathered during over 15 years of fieldwork observations in just a rather small part of the Carpathian Piedmont region between the rivers Prahova and Buzău document more than 30 populations of with fluctuating sizes and abundance. The clustering exhibited by our data does not necessarily or adequately reflect the real, natural distribution of *Z. polyxena* in the region, due to an inherent biased pattern of visitation during our research, so the fact that no populations were mentioned from other locations does not guarantee the absence of the species in other locations.

The species is rare in the studied region and very vulnerable mainly to insecticide use and secondarily to habitat degradation and only in the last instance by host-plant destruction –as *Aristolochia clematitis* has all the characteristics of a weed which seems quite resistant to local efforts to eradicate it from the cultivated fields and vineyards (see Fig. 1 for the general habitus and morphological details of the host-plant growing in abundant patches in ruderal habitats).

Despite the fluctuating character of the populations, the data presented here document multiannual presence of the species in many of the sites, suggesting the case of resident populations and not of just vagrant individuals.

Even if that were the case for some sites, the fact that I found larval stages well represented in many sites over several years suggest that, despite fluctuations in size and even presence, we are dealing in effect with a metapopulation of *Z. polyxena sensu* HANSKI (1999), a fact which could increase the chances of survival of this species in the region.

The population sizes are small especially if we take into account the number of adults seen, despite the relatively higher abundance of individuals in larval stages and the fact this species is toxic enough to have many natural predators. A likely explanation for the fact that this species is so rare despite the abundance of its food-plant, as evidenced by our study, is the nefarious synchronization of larval stages with the (abundant, indiscriminate, useless and negligently) applications of insecticides on the ruderal lands and on the strips of land bordering the cultivated fields and vineyards encroaching the patches of otherwise perfectly adequate habitat, which could wipe out entire populations of thriving larvae before reaching pupal stage –during which the individuals could presumably be less sensitive.

The populations found occupy various habitats and such habitat diversity didn't allow observable retrospective evaluation of habitat quality for the populated patches (which alternated spatially and temporally with patches of seemingly identical habitat with apparently equal quality).

HANSKI's (1999) criteria for metapopulation, i.e. discrete local breeding populations of the species; all of which have a high risk of extinction (due to indiscriminate, large-scale use of insecticides); but between which recolonization seems possible (due to *Z. polyxena*'s behavior and it being a strong flyer with good inter-patch mobility) seem to be fulfilled in this case and such a supposition might be valid. The metapopulation supposition is further supported by the arguments of DAPPERTO (2010) who states that *Z. polyxena* maintains reproductively isolated populations because it is a “*sedentary butterfly showing a short-flight period, forming small and scattered populations linked to a single plant genus*”.

Albeit the data gathered in this study were not sufficient to allow validation of such a model, they prompted a new prospective research investigating the population trends and the impact on patch occupancy of both patch size and isolation as historically hypothesized by THOMAS et al., (1992) and HANSKI (1994) and that of habitat quality as demonstrated by later studies (DENNIS & EALES, 1997; THOMAS et al., 2001; WAHLBERG et al., 2002; KRAUSS et al., 2005), especially as *Z. polyxena* is virtually monophagous in the studied region.

CONCLUSIONS

The most important contribution of the present research is that it documents a relatively constant (albeit fluctuating in size) *presence* of *Zerynthia polyxena* in the region and that its occurrence is not an exception -as it was previously believed, but rather something quite normal.

The species is rare in the studied region and very vulnerable mainly to insecticide use and secondarily to habitat degradation and only in the last instance by host-plant *Aristolochia clematitis* destruction.

The main protective measure applicable for decreasing the threats for the local *Z. polyxena* populations is completely avoiding applications of insecticides on the ruderal lands and on the strips of land bordering the cultivated fields and vineyards or at least delaying the applications of insecticides until larvae reached the pupal stage –during which the individuals could presumably be less sensitive, as the nefarious synchronization of the applications of insecticides with larval stages uselessly kill them on patches of otherwise perfectly adequate habitat, destroying entire viable populations.

The study reported herein was not aimed at finding and predicting trends, and the weak quantitative data presented do not allow for such a retrospective approach, but it represented an encouraging start and also provided a basis for a newer prospective research started recently which should provide such insights, which we will use for adequate population management programs.

Based on our findings presented herein we hypothesized that some of the populations reported in this region are interlinked in one or more metapopulations, as we believe that they fulfill HANSKI's (1999) metapopulation criteria.

The data reported herein prompted a new prospective research investigating the population trends and the impact of patch size, patch isolation and of habitat quality on patch occupancy towards the validation of such a model.




Figure 1. Typical aspect of *Aristolochia clematitis*, the host plant of *Z. polyxena*; A: Flowering hoot general habitus; B: Aspect of the flower and leaf; C: Clump of individuals by a ditch in Gura Vadului, PH, Photo Bârcă V., original.

REFERENCES

- ARBUNE A., NICULAE M., STANCIU A., VARGA A., HOGEA C., PANAITESCU D., BÂRCĂ V. 2009. Conservation status, present threats and their causes, grieving the medicinal plant species of the genus *Sempervivum* in natural occurrence sites in the Romanian SE Carpatians. *Planta Medica*. **75**(09), PB38.
- BÂRCĂ V. 2016a. Typification of the binomial *Sedum boissierianum* Hausskncht (*Crassulaceae*). *Annals of Forest Research*. DOI: 10.15287/afr.2016.496. **59**(1): 165-171.
- BÂRCĂ V. 2016b. The chorology of the species *Sedum annuum* (*Crassulaceae*) in the Romanian Eastern Carpathians. *Oltenia. Studii și comunicări. Științele Naturii*. Muzeul Olteniei Craiova. **32**(2): 52-60.
- BÂRCĂ V. 2018. Natural historic distribution of *Aristolochia clematitis* L in Romanian Southern Dobrogea, implications for conservation. *Proceedings of the Romanian Academy, Series B*, 2018, **20**(1), p. 13-22.
- BÂRCĂ V. & NICULAE M. 2011. Infestation of the medicinal plant *Sedum telephium* L. (*Crassulaceae*) by the weevil *Aizobius sedi* Germ. (*Apionidae*, *Curculionoidae*) in Oltenian carstic Piedmont (Southern Romania). *Oltenia. Studii și comunicări. Științele Naturii*. Muzeul Olteniei Craiova. **27**(2): 73-79.
- BÂRCĂ V. & NICULAE M. 2009. Morphometric data about seed coat ornamentation with taxonomic considerations in *Jovibarba heuffelii* (*Crassulaceae*) populations from Southern Carpathians. *Romanian Journal of Biology-Plant Biology*, Bucharest. Edit. Academiei. **53**(1): 17-22.
- BÂRCĂ V. & NICULAE M. 2006. Preliminary data about the chorology of the species *Sempervivum marmoreum* Griseb. (*Crassulaceae*) in Southern Carpatian Mountains in Romania. *Contribuții Botanice*. Universitatea Babeș Bolyai, Grădina Botanică (Cluj Napoca). **41**(2): 23-32.
- BÂRCĂ V. & NICULAE M. 2005. Preliminary data about the chorology of the species *Jovibarba heuffelii* Schott A. Love D. Love *Crassulaceae* in Southern Carpatian Mountains in Romania. *Contribuții Botanice*. Universitatea Babeș Bolyai. Grădina Botanică (Cluj Napoca). **40**(1): 25-33 2005.
- BÂRCĂ V., NICULAE M., PANAITESCU D. 2011. Infestation of the medicinal/ornamental plant *Alcearosea* L. (*Malvaceae*) by the weevil *Rhopalapionlon girostre* Olivier, 1807 (*Coleoptera*: *Curculionoidae*: *Apionidae*) in Oltenia (Southern, Romania). *Oltenia. Studii și comunicări. Științele Naturii*. Muzeul Olteniei Craiova. **27**(2): 80-84.
- BERGMANN A. 1952. *Die Großschmetterlinge Mitteleutschlands* 2. Urania, Jena. 495 pp.
- DAPPORTO L. 2010. Speciation in Mediterranean refugia and post-glacial expansion of *Zerynthia polyxena* (*Lepidoptera*, *Papilionidae*). *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research*. **48**: 229-237.
- DENNIS R. L. H. & EALES H. T. 1997. Patch occupancy in *Coenonympha tullia* (Müller, 1764) (*Lepidoptera*: *Satyrinae*): habitat quality matters as much as patch size and isolation. *Journal Insect Conservation*. **1**: 167-176.
- DESCIMON H. & MICHEL F. 1989. Expériences d'hybridation intra- et interspécifiques dans le genre *Zerynthia* (*Papilionidae*). Relativité des critères mixiologiques de l'espèce. *Nota lepidopterologica*. **12**(1): 28-31.
- DINCĂ V. 2006. The Macrolepidoptera (*Insecta*: *Lepidoptera*) from Istrița Hill (Buzău County, Romania). *Entomologia Romanica*. **10**: 5-24.
- DINCĂ V. & VILA R. 2008. Improving the knowledge on Romanian Rhopalocera, including the rediscovery of *Polyommatus amandus* (Schneider, 1792) (*Lycaenidae*) and an application of DNA-based identification. *Nota Lepidopterologica*. **31**(1): 3-23.
- DINCĂ V., CUVELIER S., SZÉKELY L., VILA R. 2009. New data on the Rhopalocera (*Lepidoptera*) of Dobrogea (south-eastern Romania). *Phegea*. **37**(1): 1-21.
- EISNER C. 1974. Parnassiana Nova XLIX. Die Arten und Unterarten der Baroniidae, Teinopalpidae und Parnassiidae (Ersterteil) (*Lepidoptera*). *Zoologische Verhandelingen Uitgegeven door het Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historiete Leiden*. **135**: 1-96.
- FORD E. B. 1944a. Studies on the chemistry of pigments in the *Lepidoptera*, with references to their bearing on systematics. 3. The red pigment of the *Papilionidae*. *The Proceedings of the Royal Entomological Society of London*. **19**: 92-106.
- FORD E. B. 1944b. Studies on the chemistry of pigments in the *Lepidoptera*, with references to their bearing on systematics. 4. The classification of the *Papilionidae*. *The Transactions of the Royal Entomological Society of London*. **94**: 201-223.
- HANSKI I. 1994. A practical model of metapopulation dynamics. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. **63**: 151-162.
- HANSKI I. 1999. *Metapopulation Ecology*. Oxford University Press. 313 pp.
- KRAUSS J., STEFFAN-DEWENTER I., MÜLLER C. B., TSCHARNTKE T. 2005. Relative importance of resource quantity, isolation and habitat quality for landscape distribution of a monophagous butterfly. *Ecography*. **28**: 465-474.
- KUDRNA O. 2002. The distribution atlas of European butterflies. *Oedippus*. **20**: 1-343.
- LUX C. 1990. Ethologie, systematique and ecologie de *Zerynthia polyxena creusa* Meigen dans les Alpes-Maritimes (Fomes, variétés et aberrations du *Papilionidae*). *Bulletin de la Societe Sciences Natureles*. **66**: 9-17.
- MANN J. 1866. Aufzählung der im Jahre 1865 in der Dobrudscha gesammelten Schmetterlinge. *Verhandlungen der k. k. zoologisch-botanischen Gesellschaft Wien*. **16**: 1-40.
- NARDELLI U. & HIRSCHFELD G. 2002. Abberations, formes et sous-especies de *Zerynthia polyxena* Denis and Schiffermüller, 1775 (*Lepidoptera*: *Papilionidae*). *Lambillionea*. **102**: 223-240.

- NICULAE M. & BÂRCĂ V. 2005. Preliminary data regarding the distribution of the species *Sedum hispanicum* L. (Crassulaceae) in Romanian southern Carpathians. *Contribuții Botanice*. Universitatea Babeș Bolyai, Grădina Botanică. Cluj Napoca. **40**(1): 35-42.
- NICULAE M. & BÂRCĂ V. 2006. Preliminary data regarding the distribution of the species *Sedum annuum* L. (Crassulaceae) in Romanian Southern Carpathians. *Contribuții Botanice*. Universitatea Babeș Bolyai Grădina Botanică. Cluj Napoca. **41**(2): 33-40.
- NICULESCU E. V. 1961. *Fauna R. P. R.: Fam. Papilionidae (Lepidoptera)*. Edit. Academiei R. P. R., București. **11**(5): 120 pp.
- RÁKOSY L. 2003. Lista roșie pentru fluturii diurni din România. *Buletin informativ*. Societatea lepidopterologică română. Cluj-Napoca. **13**: 1-4.
- RAKOSY L., GOIA M., KOVACS Z. 2003. *Catalogul Lepidopterelor României / Verzeichnis der Schmetterlinge Rumäniens*. Societatea Lepidopterologică Română, Cluj-Napoca. 446 pp.
- SZÉKELY L. 1985. Újabb adatok a nagylepkék elterjedéséhez Délkelet-Erdélyben. *Folia entomologica hungarica*. Budapest. **46**(2): 222-227.
- SZÉKELY L. 1996. *Lepidopterele (Fluturii) din sud-estul Transilvaniei (România)*, Disz-Tipo, Săcele. 78 pp.
- THOMAS C. D., THOMAS J. A., WARREN M. S. 1992. Distributions of occupied and vacant butterfly habitats in fragmented landscapes. *Oecologia*. **92**: 563-567.
- THOMAS J. A., BOURN N. A. D., CLARKE R. T., STEWART K. E., SIMCOX D. J., PEARMAN G. S., CURTIS R., GOODGER B. 2001. The quality and isolation of habitat patches both determine where butterflies persist in fragmented landscapes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society London (B)*. **268**: 1791-1796.
- TOLMAN T. & LEWINGTON R. 1998. *Tagfalter Europas und Nordwestafrikas*. Franckh-Kosmos, Stuttgart. 319 pp., 104 pis.
- VAN SWAAY C., WYNHOFF I., VEROVNIK R., WIEMERS M., LÓPEZ MUNGUIRA M., MAES D., SASIC M., VERSTRAEL, T., WARREN, M., SETTELE J. 2010. *Zerynthia polyxena* The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2010: e.T174224A7031650 (accessed March 08, 2018).
- WAHLBERG N., KLEMETTI T., HANSKI I. 2002. Dynamic populations in a dynamic landscape: the metapopulation structure of the marsh fritillary butterfly. *Ecography*. **25**: 224-232.

Bârcă Valentin

“Carol Davila” University of Medicine and Pharmacy,
Dept of Biophysics, Bucharest, Romania.
AGAVE HI-IQ Solutions, Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail: valentinbarca@yahoo.com

Niculae Marilena

AGAVE HI-IQ Solutions, Bucharest, Romania.
E-mail: mari_niculae@yahoo.com

Received: March 31, 2018
Accepted: September 04, 2018